



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_26931
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitudinal disposition of trained beekeepers to use of modern beekeeping technologies in Oyo state Nigeria
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The Authors made a remarkable improvement in addressing the comment on the multi-stage sampling techniques and the justification of the sample size. But few challenges on the figures used to arrive at the sample used. If you are using proportion, then the 11 and 13 LGAs for Oyo North and Oyo central gives 46% and 54% respectively. So the number of LGAs to select using this proportion will be $0.46 \times 11 = 5$ and $0.54 \times 13 = 7$, therefore the LGAs for Oyo North and Oyo central are 5 and 7 respectively and not 6 and 5 as reported by the author. The reader is also not told how many respondents are selected from each LGA and by what sampling technique. Any reason for choosing 65% of the participants from these selected LGAs? The authors presented a mean household size of 5 persons and describe that as large, any reason?. The author could consider comparing this mean to the mean household size in each LGA as reported by the national statistical service if such data is available. Author need to indicate the level of significance beneath Table 8 and not just indicating S and NS	It has been corrected on line 76. Actually random sampling was used as against proportion sampling stated. Also regards the number of respondents selected from each LGA, Table 1 was added to clarify that, while the sampling technique was stated in line 80. Simple random sampling usually do not require reason for justification as it is based on the researchers discretion Describing a mean household size of 5 persons that as large as been corrected in the discussion on line 241 to fairly small. According to government reports a household should be 6, the 2 Parents with 4 children. Level of significance has been added on Table 9 (previously Table 8) and 10 on line 219 and 228